

Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Johnson, 975 F.2d at 607).

II. BACKGROUND

The initial discovery and scheduling order (ECF No. 55) has been modified three times. (ECF Nos. 60, 63, 69.) On December 26, 2024, discovery was reopened for the limited purpose of deposing Mr. Puckett, and the pretrial motions deadline was stayed. (ECF No. 63.) On April 21, 2025, defendant was granted a forty day extension of time to depose incarcerated witness Durrell Puckett and file any necessary motion to compel his compliance with a subpoena. (ECF No. 69.)

On May 30, 2025, defendant filed a fourth motion to modify the discovery and scheduling order. Defendant noticed and subpoenaed Mr. Puckett's deposition for May 19, 2025. (ECF No. 70-1 at 1-2.) However, on May 19, 2025, at 8:30 a.m., defense counsel was informed that plaintiff had been placed in a mental health crisis bed and therefore would be unable to attend the video deposition. (Id. at 3.) At 9:00 a.m., Mr. Puckett, defense counsel, and a certified shorthand reporter appeared via video conference for Mr. Puckett's deposition, and defense counsel informed Mr. Puckett that the deposition had to be rescheduled due to plaintiff's inability to attend the deposition. (Id.) Defense counsel is in the process of coordinating a new deposition date and anticipates noticing Mr. Puckett's deposition for June 16, 2025. (Id. at 8.)

III. DISCUSSION

Defendant seeks an additional fourteen days to depose Mr. Puckett and file any necessary second motion to compel. In addition to the usual difficulties involved in coordinating a deposition of an inmate with the attendance of another inmate at a different prison, the record demonstrates defendant has had continued difficulties in scheduling Mr. Puckett's deposition due to Mr. Puckett's frequent transfers to different prisons. (ECF No. 68-1 at 6.) In addition, four days before Mr. Puckett's May 19, 2025 deposition, defense counsel was notified of plaintiff's transfer to a different prison. (ECF No. 70-1 at 3.) Despite defense counsel's successful coordination of plaintiff's attendance at the May 19, 2025 deposition at his new institution, the deposition could not take place due to plaintiff's unexpected housing in the mental health crisis

	Case 2:22-cv-02015-CSK Document 71 Filed 06/03/25 Page 3 of 3
1	bed on May 18, 2025. (Id.; ECF No. 70-2 at 2.) The Court finds that defendant has diligently
2	attempted to schedule Mr. Puckett's deposition and has shown good cause for this fourth
3	extension of the discovery deadline.
4	<u>ORDER</u>
5	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's fourth motion (ECF
6	No. 70) to modify the discovery and scheduling orders (ECF Nos. 55, 60, 63, 69) is granted.
7	Defendant is granted a fourteen day extension of time to depose incarcerated witness Durrell
8	Puckett and file any necessary motion to compel his compliance with a subpoena, up to and
9	including June 19, 2025. No other deadlines are affected by this order.
10	Dated: June 3, 2025
11	
12	CHI SOO KIM
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	/1/park2015.16b4
15	7. Parazoro. Toe 1
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
2324	
24 25	
25 26	
27	
28	